

the Center for Civic Education and funded by the U.S. Department of Education under the Education for Democracy Act approved by the United States Congress.

Congressional Hearing Group Score Sheet

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the best score. Use a separate form for each group.

1-2 = Poor $3-4 = Fair$ $5-6 = Average$	7–8 = Above Average	9-10 = Excellent
	SCORE	NOTES
1. UNDERSTANDING: To what extent did participa demonstrate a clear understanding of the basic is involved in the question?		
2. CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION: To what extended participants appropriately apply knowledge of constitutional history and principles?		
3. REASONING: To what extent did participants su positions with sound reasoning?	pport	
4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrated	tions?	
5. RESPONSIVENESS: To what extent did participal answers address the question asked?	ants'	
6. PARTICIPATION: To what extent did <u>most</u> group members contribute to the group's presentation?		
GROUP TO	DTAL	
.IIIDGE:	TIFBRFAKFR*	

*Please designate a score of any number between 0 and 100 that reflects this group's OVERALL performance. (This score will be used only in the event of a tie.) Please use the following scale:

Outstanding	90 to 100 points	Average	50 to 69 points
Very Good	80 to 89 points	Below Average	30 to 49 points
Above Average	70 to 79 points	Poor	0 to 29 points



 $1_2 = Poor$

Directed by the Center for Civic Education and funded by the U.S. Department of Education under the Education for Democracy Act approved by the United States Congress.

Congressional Hearing Group Score Sheet

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the best score. Use a separate form for each group.

1-2 = Poor $3-4 = Fair$ $5-6 = Av$	verage	7-8 = Ab	ove Average	9–10 = Excellent
			SCORE	NOTES
1. UNDERSTANDING: To what extent did demonstrate a clear understanding of the involved in the question?				
2. CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION: To did participants appropriately apply know constitutional history and principles?		nt		
3. REASONING: To what extent did participositions with sound reasoning?	ipants supp	port		
4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what exterparticipants support positions with historic contemporary evidence, examples, and/o	ical or	ons?		
5. RESPONSIVENESS: To what extent did answers address the question asked?	d participar	nts'		
6. PARTICIPATION: To what extent did <u>m</u> members contribute to the group's prese				
GF	ROUP TOT	ΓAL		
JUDGF:		1	TIFBRFAKER*	

*Please designate a score of any number between 0 and 100 that reflects this group's OVERALL performance. (This score will be used only in the event of a tie.) Please use the following scale:

Outstanding	90 to 100 points	Average	50 to 69 points
Very Good	80 to 89 points	Below Average	30 to 49 points
Above Average	70 to 79 points	Poor	0 to 29 points



Directed by the Center for Civic Education and funded by the U.S. Department of Education under the Education for Democracy Act approved by the United States Congress.

Congressional Hearing Group Score Sheet

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the best score. Use a separate form for each group.

1-2 = Poor3-4 = Fair 5-6 = Average7–8 = Above Average 9-10 = ExcellentSCORE NOTES 1. **UNDERSTANDING:** To what extent did participants demonstrate a clear understanding of the basic issues involved in the question? 2. **CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION**: To what extent did participants appropriately apply knowledge of constitutional history and principles? 3. **REASONING:** To what extent did participants support positions with sound reasoning? 4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrations? 5. **RESPONSIVENESS:** To what extent did participants' answers address the question asked? 6. **PARTICIPATION:** To what extent did most group members contribute to the group's presentation? **GROUP TOTAL TIEBREAKER*** JUDGE:

*Please designate a score of any number between 0 and 100 that reflects this group's OVERALL performance. (This score will be used only in the event of a tie.) Please use the following scale:

Outstanding	90 to 100 points	Average	50 to 69 points
Very Good	80 to 89 points	Below Average	30 to 49 points
Above Average	70 to 79 points	Poor	0 to 29 points



Directed by the Center for Civic Education and funded by the U.S. Department of Education under the Education for Democracy Act approved by the United States Congress.

Congressional Hearing Group Score Sheet

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the best score. Use a separate form for each group.

1-2 = Poor3-4 = Fair 5-6 = Average7–8 = Above Average 9-10 = ExcellentSCORE NOTES 1. **UNDERSTANDING:** To what extent did participants demonstrate a clear understanding of the basic issues involved in the question? 2. **CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION**: To what extent did participants appropriately apply knowledge of constitutional history and principles? 3. **REASONING:** To what extent did participants support positions with sound reasoning? 4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrations? 5. **RESPONSIVENESS:** To what extent did participants' answers address the question asked? 6. **PARTICIPATION:** To what extent did most group members contribute to the group's presentation? **GROUP TOTAL TIEBREAKER*** JUDGE:

*Please designate a score of any number between 0 and 100 that reflects this group's OVERALL performance. (This score will be used only in the event of a tie.) Please use the following scale:

Outstanding	90 to 100 points	Average	50 to 69 points
Very Good	80 to 89 points	Below Average	30 to 49 points
Above Average	70 to 79 points	Poor	0 to 29 points

9-10 = Excellent



3-4 = Fair 5-6 = Average

1-2 = Poor

Directed by the Center for Civic Education and funded by the U.S. Department of Education under the Education for Democracy Act approved by the United States Congress.

Congressional Hearing Group Score Sheet

7–8 = Above Average

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the best score. Use a separate form for each group.

SCORE NOTES 1. **UNDERSTANDING:** To what extent did participants demonstrate a clear understanding of the basic issues involved in the question? 2. **CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION**: To what extent did participants appropriately apply knowledge of constitutional history and principles? 3. **REASONING:** To what extent did participants support positions with sound reasoning? 4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrations? 5. **RESPONSIVENESS:** To what extent did participants' answers address the question asked? 6. **PARTICIPATION:** To what extent did most group members contribute to the group's presentation? **GROUP TOTAL TIEBREAKER*** JUDGE:

*Please designate a score of any number between 0 and 100 that reflects this group's OVERALL performance. (This score will be used only in the event of a tie.) Please use the following scale:

Outstanding	90 to 100 points	Average	50 to 69 points
Very Good	80 to 89 points	Below Average	30 to 49 points
Above Average	70 to 79 points	Poor	0 to 29 points



 $1_2 = Poor$

Directed by the Center for Civic Education and funded by the U.S. Department of Education under the Education for Democracy Act approved by the United States Congress.

Congressional Hearing Group Score Sheet

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the best score. Use a separate form for each group.

1-2 = Poor $3-4 = Fair$ $5-6 = Av$	verage	7–8 =	Above Average	9–10 = Excellent
			SCORE	NOTES
UNDERSTANDING: To what extent did demonstrate a clear understanding of the involved in the question?				
CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION: To did participants appropriately apply know constitutional history and principles?		nt		
REASONING: To what extent did partic positions with sound reasoning?	ipants supp	port		
SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extend participants support positions with historic contemporary evidence, examples, and/or contemporary evidence.	ical or	ons?		
5. RESPONSIVENESS: To what extent did answers address the question asked?	d participar	nts'		
PARTICIPATION: To what extent did m members contribute to the group's preserved.				
GI	ROUP TOT	ΓAL		
JUDGF:		•	TIFBRFAKFR*	

*Please designate a score of any number between 0 and 100 that reflects this group's OVERALL performance. (This score will be used only in the event of a tie.) Please use the following scale:

Outstanding	90 to 100 points	Average	50 to 69 points
Very Good	80 to 89 points	Below Average	30 to 49 points
Above Average	70 to 79 points	Poor	0 to 29 points